

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Docket No. 2335)**

In re Application of:)	
)	
Christopher M. Doran)	
)	Group Art Unit 2416
Serial No. 10/629,381)	
)	Examiner: Nguyen Hoang Ngo
Filed: July 29, 2003)	
)	Confirmation No. 6533
For: Method and System for Selectively)	
Operating in a Half-Duplex Mode or)	
Full-Duplex Mode in a Packet-Based)	
Real-Time Media Conference)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Dear Sir:

The undersigned and the Examiner held a telephone interview on September 15, 2009, initiated by the Examiner. The Examiner indicated that the claims would be allowed if the limitations of claim 8 and its intervening claims would be added into claim 1. Applicant agreed to this amendment. In so agreeing, however, Applicant does not acquiesce in the rejections of the claims, and Applicant maintains that the claims even without this amendment patentably distinguish over the art for at least the reasons set forth in the response filed July 29, 2009. Accordingly, Applicant specifically reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of the rejected claims in a continuation application.

Respectfully submitted,

**McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP**

Dated: September 16, 2009

By: /Lawrence H. Aaronson/
Lawrence H. Aaronson
Reg. No. 35,818